**DOCKET NO.:** BELL-0068/00248 **Application No.:** 09/854,723

Office Action Dated: March 21, 2005

PATENT

## REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated March 21, 2005, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration based on the above claim amendments and the following remarks.

Applicants respectfully submit that the claims as presented are in condition for allowance.

Claims 1-16 are pending. Claims 1-16 have been rejected. Claims 1 and 10 are independent claims from which claims 2-9, and 11-16 respectively depend. Claims 1, 2, 3, 10 and 14 have been amended. No new matter has been added. Support for the amendments can be found in the application as originally filed on page 6, second paragraph, and elsewhere in the application.

Claims 1, 5-11 and 15-16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kennedy et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,377,825 B1 in view of Meidan et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,509,048 and further in view of Wendelrup (U.S. Patent Application Number 2002/0066115A1). It is respectfully submitted that these claims are patentable for the reasons set forth below.

Neither Kennedy nor Meidan nor Wendelrup alone or in combination disclose or suggest at least the italicized features of Applicants' amend claim 1. Applicants' amended claim 1 recites:

Apparatus for displaying information from a portable communications device having a data output port on a remote projection display device having a data input port, the apparatus comprising:

a first data port associated with a cradle for receiving the portable communications device, the first data port adapted to be coupled to the data output port of the portable communications device, the first data port for receiving remote data from the portable communications device; and

a second data port that is adapted to be coupled to the data input port of the remote projection display device, the second data port for automatically, upon placement of the portable communications device into the cradle, providing to the remote projection display device a representation of the remote data received from the portable communications device.

(emphasis added).

Kennedy discloses a pocket for holding a wireless communication and an interface module in communication with the pocket. Kennedy does not disclose or suggest an interface with a projection display device. Meidan discloses a radiotelephone coupled to a

PATENT

**DOCKET NO.:** BELL-0068/00248

Application No.: 09/854,723

Office Action Dated: March 21, 2005

heads up display to display telephone control signals such as "ringing" or "dialing" or a dialed telephone number but does not disclose or suggest the display of remote data. Wendelrup is directed to a portable communications device for communication via a communications network for exchanging video signals between the device and an external video apparatus. Neither Kennedy nor Meidan nor Wendelrup alone or in combination disclose or suggest automatically providing to the remote projection display device a representation of the remote data received at the portable communications device upon placement of the portable communications device into the cradle, as recited by amended claim 1. Claim 10 includes analogous features. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the 103 rejections of claims 1 and 10 and the claims that depend directly and indirectly therefrom.

Claims 2, 3, 13 and 14 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kennedy in view of Meidan and Wendelrup and further in view of Klausner, U.S. Patent No. 6,489,934 B1.

Klausner discloses a cellular telephone having the ability to reformat a data display ("...reformat each page [of visual data] into several smaller pages, so as to be contained in the viewing frame of the cellular phone's built-in small screen" See Klausner, column 1, lines 31-33) but does not cure the deficiencies of Kennedy, Meidan and Singh. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that these claims are patentable and Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the §103(a) rejections of claims 2, 3, 13 and 14 for the reasons discussed above.

Claim 4 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kennedy in view of Meidan, Singh, and Klausner, and further in view of Tsai, U.S. Patent No. 6,339,700 B1.

Tsai discloses a cellular telephone with a hands free receiver mounted on a steering wheel of an automobile but does not cure the deficiencies of Kennedy, Meidan and Wendelrup. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that this claim is patentable and Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claim 4 for the reasons discussed above.

PATENT

DOCKET NO.: BELL-0068/00248

Application No.: 09/854,723

Office Action Dated: March 21, 2005

Claim 12 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kennedy in view of Meidan and Wendelrup, and further in view of Lebby, U.S. Patent No. 6,115,618.

Lebby discloses a portable electronic device with a detachable display with a wireless communication interface but does not cure the deficiencies of Kennedy, Meidan and Wendelrup. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that this claim is patentable and Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection of claim 12 for the reasons discussed above.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the application and an early Notice of Allowance are respectfully requested.

Date: June 16, 2005

Susan C. Murphy
Registration No. 46,221

Woodcock Washburn LLP One Liberty Place - 46th Floor Philadelphia PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 568-3100

Facsimile: (215) 568-3439